What models of employment relations are multinationals implementing in central Europe? Evidence from the automotive sector

Guglielmo Meardi & Paul Marginson IRRU, University of Warwick

Fafo Østforum seminar 10.11.06

#### **EU enlargement and FDI**

- Central Europe now a major destination for FDI (UNCTAD)
- differing perspectives
- European MNCs a channel for bottom-up transfer of company-level elements of European social model?
- widespread social dumping?
- character of central European FDI inconsistent with premise of western Europe's social compromise?
- differing motivations for FDI
  - market-seeking
  - two waves of efficiency seeking

### EU enlargement and FDI [2]

- manufacturing: unique scope for efficiencyrelated relocations and international reorganisation of integrated production
  - geographical proximity to EU markets
  - no tariff or non-tariff barriers
  - gap in ULCs with western Europe

# Varieties of MNC & Varieties of Destination

- country-of-origin
- varieties of capitalism: US- and German- based MNCs
- German MNCs: greater difficulty in transfer abroad; escaping domestic institutions?
- intra-national variation
- host country
- degrees of institutional permissiveness
- central Europe: different transformation paths;
  different institutional environments

#### Research design

- compare home and host country effects
- US & German FDI analytically and empirically important (Streeck: contract v status)
- Central Europe a neutral testing ground, particularly open to foreign innovation
- contrasting institutional environments (H, PL, SI)
- automotive components (integrated European production; high re-export rate to western Europe)
- 2x3x2 case studies of US/German MNCs in H/PL/SI
- interviews with managers and TU/employee reps

# **German vs US model [1]**

	German	US
Control	Direct (expats)	Procedures
Participation	Indirect	Direct
Knowledge & culture basis	Technical, tradition	Business/finance, change
Teamwork	Job enrichment, joint management	High control

# **German v US model [2]**

	German	US
Numerical flexibility	Turnover < average Flexible empl. < av.	Turnover > average Flexible empl. > av.
Pay flexibility	<10%, standard rules	>10%, assessed
Functional flexibility	High – multitasking	Low
Working-time flexibility	High, joint rules	High, overtime
Diversity management	Weak	Strong

## **Host country differences**

- POLAND: Americanisation-prone?
  - --decentralisation
  - single representation channel (now mixed),
  - union pluralism
  - residual welfare state, high unemployment
- HUNGARY: Mixed situation-prone?
  - decentralisation
  - dual representation channel
  - union pluralism, but usually one company-one union
  - welfare state => tight labour market
- SLOVENIA: Germanisation-prone?
  - high association density, comprehensive centralised coll. barg.
  - dual representation channel, self-mgt legacy
  - union pluralism
  - welfare state, national cohesion, but liberal reform pressure

# Unionised companies, PL (N:30)

		Gree	enfiel	d		Brownfield				
	Tot	≤300	301- 1000	> 1000	Tot	≤30 0	301- 1000	0/1	Tot	
D	35%	0/4	1/6	2/2	25%	0/0	3/4	0/1	60%	
US	77%	0/1	1/2	3/3	67%	1/1	2/3	3/3	86%	

# Germany vs US: model transfer

		GP1	GP2	GH1	GH2	GS	MS1	MS2	AP1	AP2	AH1	AH2	AS
Control		~		>	<b>~</b>		D	US	~		<b>~</b>		<b>y</b>
Participatio	n	<b>~</b>				<b>✓</b>	D	US	<b>~</b>	<b>~</b>	(×)	<b>~</b>	
Knowl Cult	ure	<b>&gt;</b>	~	<b>&gt;</b>		<b>~</b>	D	D	<b>~</b>	<b>~</b>	<b>~</b>	<b>~</b>	
Teamwork		~		<b>&gt;</b>			US	D			<b>~</b>		<b>y</b>
Numerical f	flex	~		<b>&gt;</b>	<b>~</b>	~	US	US			<b>~</b>		<b>y</b>
Pay flex			~				D	US		~		~	<b>~</b>
Funct'l flex		~	~	<b>&gt;</b>			US	D	~	~	<b>~</b>		<b>( ∨ )</b>
Time flex		~	~				US	US	~	~	<b>~</b>	~	<b>y</b>
Diversity m	igt	<b>~</b>	<b>~</b>	*	<b>~</b>	<b>~</b>	D	D	<b>Y</b>			<b>~</b>	

### **Host country effects**

- Hungary, Poland marked diversity → neither German nor American
- intra-national variation
  - greenfield innovation and brownfield adaptation
  - high- and low-road greenfield innovation (H)
  - between 'brown' and 'green'
- Slovenia → German and American

#### German variation: 2 cases in Poland

#### VW

- key elements of (company) IR model transposed
- the exception: pay flexibility

#### GP2

- internationalisation and 'de-Germanisation'
- adversarial, 'local' multi-union model

Explanations: degrees of internationalisation, value chain, degree of vertical integration/segregation

### **US variation: 2 cases in Hungary**

#### **AH1:**

- segmented institutionalised IR
- strong American ethos

#### AH2:

- hegemonic attempt
- Craft work organisation (unique in Europe)
  Variables: time, labour market, skills

#### **Conclusions - 1**

- high degree of variety in FDI impact
  - country-of-origin muted
  - H, PL institutionally permissive
  - Slovenia: institutional conformity and flexibility
- production model: transfer of work practices, enhancement of flexibilities, non-transfer of IR structures
- Neither Germanisation (no IR transfer)
- Nor Americanisation (limited flexibility transfer)

#### **Conclusions - 2**

- No European social model transfer through FDI
- 'High road' possible but still exceptional (VW)
- 'Low road' production model *without* social model transfer is currently economically successful...
- But is it socially sustainable long-term?